UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,688	04/18/2006	Chiyota Ogata	121036-0081 4029	
35684 BUTZEL LON	7590 11/14/2007 IG		EXAMINER	
350 SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 300 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104			THOMAS, JAISON P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@butzel.com burns@butzel.com ball@butzel.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/541,688	OGATA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jaison P. Thomas	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9/4/2007</u> .				
·=	, -				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

- 1. This action is responsive to amendments filed on 9/4/2007.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are pending. Claim 1 is amended.
- 3. The objection to the Drawings filed on 7/5/2005 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's remarks.
- 4. Claims 1-17 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shingo (JP 2001-002864).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/4/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's contend that Shingo fails to teach, recognize or render obvious the specific selection of carbon black as a filler material (to the exclusion of silica) for seal molding materials in a nickel-hydrogen cell that contains an electrolytic solution.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments. The Examiner notes that the instant claim language uses "comprising" claim language that would not necessarily exclude the use of silica in the claimed composition. Further, as stated in the previous Office Action, the Examples 1-3 illustrated in the Shingo reference do contemplate the use of carbon black only with no reference to the use of silica. The Examiner has enclosed a copy of an English translation of the Shingo reference provided by the translation services at the USPTO which reiterates the teachings disclosed in the earlier Office Action. Examples 1-3 on pgs.5-6 show a composition that

Art Unit: 1796

contains 100 parts by weight of EPDM, 80 parts by weight carbon black, and 3.5 parts by weight of dicumyl peroxide with no mention of silica. Thus Examiner is unclear on Applicant's contention that the Shingo reference does not teach a composition using carbon black as the exclusive filler. With respect to the limitations regarding the use of the composition in nickel-hydrogen cells containing electrolytic solutions, the Examiner contends that these limitations are drawn to intended uses that are not given patentable weight and, further, since the compositions are identical in nature would be able to perform the same applications inherently.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,688

Art Unit: 1796

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jaison P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571)

272-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Thomas Examiner 11/1/2007

71/2007

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner Page 4

JT